

Remarks

In response to the objection to the drawings, two sheets of red line drawings accompany this amendment for the examiner's approval.

In response to the objection to the abstract a substitute abstract is provided above on a separate sheet.

Claims 1, 4 and 6-14 have been amended to broaden the claims by removing references to the drawings. Also, grammatical errors that the applicant was aware of were corrected. None of the amendments were required by the statutes or rules for patentability.

Claim 3 has been amended to add that the magnetic field during writing exceeds approximately 30 kA/min-- accordance with the specification at page 3, line 10.

In response to the rejection of claims 1 and 3 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, alleging that the claims are indefinite, applicant traverses the rejection. More specifically, the rejection of claim 1 is without any basis because those skilled in the art would understand claim 1 as originally presented. Changing "the said" to --the-- in claim 1 has nothing to do with definiteness and was just changed to correct a grammatical error and the change does not change the claim from indefinite to definite.

In response to the suggestion that "each of the following items should appear in upper case", applicant respectfully declines to add headings because they are not required by the statute or rules, and could be inappropriately used in interpreting the specification.

The claims are definite and distinguished from the citations and Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) including fees for any required extension of time, to Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By Michael E. Belk
Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357
Patent Attorney
(914) 333-9643

nl000305.aml.doc